

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,580	09/15/2000	Arihiro Takeda	2803.64680	2108
75	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PATRICK G. BURNS GREER,BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
	300 S. WACKER DR25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2871	· <u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/663,580	TAKEDA ET AL.	and /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	Dung Nguyen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm	
Status	;		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (October 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 170-192 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>170-192</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	- ' ' ' ' '	
	Examinor: Note the attache	onice realist of former 10	102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		•	200
application from the International Burea	•	ii received iii tiiis ivationai St	aye
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received	
occ the attached detailed Office action for a lis	s of the certified copies no	t rootivou.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	-0)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-19	02)
L			

Application/Control Number: 09/663,580

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response dated 10/18/2005 has been received and entered. Claims 170-192 are remain pending in the application.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 170-192 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3, 8 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,724,452.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application and patent disclose the same an electrically controlled birefringence type liquid crystal display device having a first substrate including first domain regulating means, a second substrate including second domain regulating means, a negative dielectric constant anisotropy liquid crystal, vertical orientation layers, wherein first domain regulating means including protrusions bent in a zigzag shape (i.e., including first line and second line portions) and the second domain regulating means including an array of protrusions or

Art Unit: 2871

depressions or slips each being bent in a zigzag shape (i.e., including third line and fourth line portions) extending parallel to each other.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on 10/31/2005 is not accepted since an attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated above (see paragraph 3).

Conclusion .

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/663,580

Art Unit: 2871

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 01/06/06 Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871